Counter movement jump and sport specific frequency speed of kick test to discriminate between elite and sub-elite kickboxers
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Background: Although counter movement jump (CMJ) test has been used for years to measure neuromuscular power, it is unclear what is the sensitivity of CMJ in distinguishing between combat athletes. Objective: The aim of this study is to assess the ability of 10-second frequency speed of kick test (FSKT) and CMJ to distinguish between elite and sub-elite kickboxing athletes. Methods: Twenty-four kickboxers voluntarily participated in this study. The inclusion criteria for all participants were to compete in the national championships at least the last three years. Athletes reaching at least a quarter-final were considered as elite (n = 12, age 21.3 ± 1.8 years, body height 176.0 ± 0.6 cm, body mass 70.91 ± 9.1 kg, training experience 7.2 ± 1.8 years) whereas athletes who could not reach the quarter-finals were considered as sub-elite (n = 12, age 20.0 ± 1.6 years, body height 177.0 ± 4.5 cm, body mass 69.05 ± 5.24 kg, training experience 6.21 ± 0.62 years) in the national championship held in the last tournament. Participants performed 10-second FSKT and CMJ test twice. Independent t-test was used to determine whether there were differences between groups and effect size (Cohen’s d) was calculated. Additionally, a discriminant function analysis was used to determine which test most accurately distinguished elite and sub-elite kickboxers. Results: Body height, body mass, body mass index, and training experience did not significantly differ between the groups (p > .05), while CMJ (p = .001, d = 1.49) and FSKT (p < .001, d = 2.56) were significantly higher in the elite group than the sub-elite group. According to discriminant function analyses, FSKT correctly classified participation of 91.7% athletes in the elite and sub-elite groups, while CMJ correctly classified 70.8% of athletes. Conclusions: This study suggested that FSKT can be used to determine successful and non-successful kickboxers since it is more effective at distinguishing the groups than CMJ test.
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Introduction

Jumping is a combined human movement that requires complex motor coordination between upper- and lower-body parts. The mechanical process of the lower limbs during a vertical jump has been considered a suited movement pattern for evaluating explosive characteristics of various populations (Bosco & Komi, 1979; Bosco & Viitasalo, 1982; Markovic, Dizdar, Jukic, & Cardinale, 2004). Vertical jump tests have been used in sports requiring explosive strength for diverse goals, including evaluating the lower limb neuromuscular power (Liebermann & Katz, 2003), identifying talented athletes (Stoessel, Stone, Keith, Marple, & Johnson, 1991), and assessing motor fatigue (Hamilton, 2009; Haynes, Bishop, Antrobus, & Brazier, 2019). For example, these tests have been commonly used to assess peak power of lower limbs in volleyball and basketball players (Duncan, Lyons, & Nevill, 2008; Gathercole, Stellingwerff, & Sporer, 2015; Haynes et al., 2019) or to evaluate motor changes in lower limb power all along a season in professional rugby athletes (Argus, Gill, Keogh, Hopkins, & Beaven, 2009).

Kickboxing is a sport used kicks and punches with speed, stability, and strength (Podrigalo, Volodchenko, Rovnaya, Podavalenko, & Grynova, 2018). The main purpose of the kickboxers during the match is to make an attack on the opponent with proper kicks and punches to plus points and gain the superiority (Gartland, Malik, & Lovell, 2001; Hölbling, Preuschl, Hassmann, & Baca, 2017; Ouergui, Hammouda, et al., 2013;
Methods

This study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki. Besides, the study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Erzurum Atatürk University, Institute of Winter Sports and Sport Sciences.

Participants

Twenty-four male kickboxers, who competed in the senior category of Turkey national kickboxing championship at least the last 3 years, and had kickboxing training at least 5 years, voluntarily participated in this study. Athletes reaching at least a quarter-final were considered as elite (n = 12, age 21.3 ± 1.8 years, body mass 70.9 ± 9.1 kg, body height 176.1 ± 5.9 cm, training experience 6.2 ± 0.6 years) whereas athletes who could not reach the quarter-finals were considered as sub-elite (n = 12, age 20.0 ± 1.6 years, body mass 69.1 ± 5.2 kg, body height 177.1 ± 4.5 cm, training experience: 6.2 ± 0.6 years) in the national championship held in the last tournament. In this study, the elite group was created first, and sub-elite participants were selected in accordance with the weight category of each athlete in the elite group. Thus, performance changes related to physical properties were aimed to be eliminated. Descriptive characteristics for the participants are shown in Table 1. Participants and their coaches were informed about the experimental protocol. In addition, written informed consent was obtained from participants.

Procedures

The data collection process was carried out during the participant’s weekly training routine. Participants performed a familiarization session at the beginning of the study. Additionally, participants were given the opportunity to warm-up and perform the tests at submaximal intensity before the main measurements. The tests were carried out between 10:00 and 11:30 AM. Participants were not permitted to use any supplements during the study period, or perform any exhaustive activity 24 hours before the testing days. Participants were verbally encouraged to maximize their performance in the tests.

Anthropometrical measurements

Participants’ body heights were measured by Stadiometer (Portable Stadiometer, Holtain, Crymych, United Kingdom) and body mass was measured by Tanita TBF 401 (Tanita, Tokyo, Japan).

Frequency speed of kick test

After the warm-up protocol, participants performed 10-second FSKT with their dominant leg in two repetitions. The number of repetitions during the FSKT was determined using a high-speed (HD 60 fps) video camera (Sony PXW-FX9, Sony, Tokyo, Japan) and time-repetition synchronization was checked in slow motion mode. After the commencing sound signal, the participants tried to reach the maximum number of kicks. Total kick number in each test was determined and used to describe the performance (Santos & Franchini, 2018; Santos et al., 2016). In order for a kick to be considered a valid score, it had to be hit with the appropriate kicking technique to the marked area on the training sandbag. Kicks that did not hit the target were considered invalid. A total of two attempts were recorded, and the higher score was used for further evaluation (Santos, Herrera-Vaenzuela, & Franchini, 2019).

Counter movement jump

CMJ was measured using iPhone X mobile phone (Apple, Cupertino, CA, USA; HD 60 fps) with the My
Jump 2 mobile application (Carlos Balsalobre; https://apps.apple.com/app/my-jump-2/id1148617550). The validity and reliability of which were previously tested by Haynes et al. (2019). Participants were instructed to keep their hands on the hips, keep their knees in full extension, and then flex the knees and jump vertically at the fastest possible speed. If the subjects separated their hands at any stage of the jump, or if they pulled their knees in the flight phase of the jump, it was considered an error and the test was repeated. The jumps of the athletes who did not comply with the jump instructions were regarded as invalid and they were asked to repeat the jump again. After the first jump, the athletes were given 3–5 minutes of rest, and they performed second jump when they felt fully ready. A total of two attempts were recorded, and the higher jump score was recorded in centimeters as a valid score.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 21; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Significant level was stated $\alpha = .05$. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, and the results are presented as mean and ± standard deviation. The normality of data was verified using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Independent $t$-test was used to determine whether there were differences between the elite group and sub-elite group. Effect sizes for the independent $t$-test were calculated by Cohen's $d$ formula (Cohen, 2013) and were classified according to Hopkins (2000) as < 0.2: trivial, 0.20–0.59: small, 0.60–1.19: moderate, 1.20–1.99: large, 2.00–3.99: very large, and ≥ 4: nearly perfect. Additionally, a discriminant function analysis was used to determine which test most accurately distinguished successful and non-successful kickboxers. The collinearity of data was analyzed to identify correlations between CMJ and FSKT. Since these tests were highly correlated ($r > .70$) with each other, two discriminant function analysis models were used separately. The structural coefficient above .30 was considered as relevant for the interpretation of the linear vectors (Özbay & Ulupınar, 2020).

Results
According to the comparison of the anthropometric characteristics and performance scores, FSKT and CMJ performance values were significantly higher in the elite group than sub-elite group ($p < .001$ and $d = 2.56$; $p = .001$ and $d = 1.49$, respectively) while there was no statistical difference at the age, body mass, body height, body mass index and training experience between the groups (Table 1).

Table 2 demonstrated that CMJ test correctly classified the elite and sub-elite kickboxers by 70.8%, whereas FSKT correctly classified the groups by 91.7%.

Table 1
Comparison of age, anthropometric characteristics, training experience and performance scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Elite</th>
<th>Sub-elite</th>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>Cohen's $d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>21.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>20.0 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1.905</td>
<td>.070</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>70.9 ± 9.1</td>
<td>69.0 ± 5.2</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>.545</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body height (cm)</td>
<td>176.4 ± 6.7</td>
<td>177.3 ± 4.5</td>
<td>-0.390</td>
<td>.700</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>21.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1.261</td>
<td>.220</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training experience (years)</td>
<td>7.2 ± 1.8</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.779</td>
<td>.098</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counter movement jump (cm)</td>
<td>38.88 ± 3.35</td>
<td>33.85 ± 3.41</td>
<td>3.643</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency speed of kick test (repetition)</td>
<td>23.42 ± 1.67</td>
<td>20.08 ± 0.79</td>
<td>6.226</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
The classification of groups according to the discriminant function analyses between the counter movement jump and frequency speed of kick test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Counter movement jump</th>
<th>Frequency speed of kick test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Elite (percent) Sub-elite</td>
<td>Elite (percent) Sub-elite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elite</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>75.0% (9) 25.0% (3)</td>
<td>83.3% (10) 16.7% (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-elite</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>33.3% (4) 66.7% (8)</td>
<td>0% (0) 100% (12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

70.8% of participants were correctly classified. 91.7% of participants were correctly classified.
Discussion

In this study, we examined the effectiveness of the sport-specific FSKT test in distinguishing between elite and sub-elite kickboxers compared to the CMJ test, which is widely used worldwide to evaluate lower-limb neuromuscular power (Liebermann & Katz, 2003). Comparison between groups showed that both FSKT and CMJ performance values were significantly higher in the elite group than the sub-elite group (very large effect size, large effect size, respectively). However, FSKT correctly classified the groups by 91.7% while CMJ test correctly classified the elite and sub-elite kickboxers by 70.8%. This study is the first investigation using FSKT in kickboxing athletes, which originally was designed to evaluate the sport-specific performances of taekwondo.

Different CMJ performances were presented in another study conducted by Tabben et al. (2014), in which the physical and physiological characteristics of high-level sports athletes were evaluated. Their study consisted of elite 19 judo, 19 karate and 16 taekwondo athletes, and CMJ performances of them on the mean of were 47.1, 50.0, and 43.2 cm, respectively. Compared to that study, both groups of our study CMJ performances seem lower. Although it seems difficult to explain precisely, this difference can be explained by the different levels of training experiences and sport disciplines of the athletes. However, it has been reported that kicks are used a lot during kickboxing (Ouergui et al., 2016), taekwondo (Casolino et al., 2012) and karate competitions (Chaabene et al., 2014; Chaabene, Hachana, Franchini, Mkaouer, & Chamari, 2012), and it is thought that these kicks need to be thrown at a certain speed in order to be accurate and, therefore, lower extremity muscle strength must be high in order to be successful in such sports (Santos & Franchini, 2018; Tabben et al., 2014). Although various results have been reported according to sports characteristics, training experience, or competition status, CMJ seems a common test used to evaluate the lower limbs’ power in combat sports athletes in the literature (Casolino et al., 2012; Santos et al., 2018; Slimani & Chéour, 2016).

Although the FSKT test is a much newer test compared to the CMJ test, it has become more preferred in recent studies related to combat sports because it is thought to measure sports-specific skills (Santos & Franchini, 2016, 2018; Santos et al., 2019, 2016, 2018). In another novel study carried out by Santos et al. (2019), they set a classification scale of FSKT for taekwondo athletes (≥ 22: excellent, 21: good, 17–20: regular, 16: poor and ≤ 15: very poor). With respect to this classification scale, the mean of FSKT scores of the elite group was good (mean: 23.42), but in the sub-elite group, the mean of FSKT scores was regular (mean: 20.08). There was never a poor or very poor score of FSKT in both groups. The reason for that can be explained by the fact that both study groups of the present study have training experience of at least 5 years and they selected from those who participate in the national competitions regularly.

A study reported an average of around 50 kicks during a kickboxing match (Slimani, Chaabene, Miarka, & Chamari, 2017). Another study indicated that around 75 high-intensity actions were observed in a kickboxing match (Ouergui et al., 2014). In addition, Ouergui, Hssin, Franchini, Gmada, and Bouhlel (2013) emphasized that it is important to perform a single kick quickly and to be able to repeat the consecutive kicks rapidly in order to be successful in kickboxing. These studies show that the ability to kick quickly is one of the major predictors for kickboxing. Therefore, it is clear that the tests used to measure athletic performance must need to reflect the mechanical skills observed during the actual match.

Under current circumstances, FSKT is one of the best alternatives to provide the mentioned requirement, but it is a limitation that it does not measure a skill related to punching. In addition, the fact that this study only included male athletes and a small sample size are other limitations of this study.

Conclusions

Even though the CMJ test is commonly used by coaches and sports scientists worldwide and an effective test for measuring lower limbs strength, it might not reflect specificity some sport discipline such as kickboxing. This study showed that FSKT can distinguish successful kickboxers from unsuccessful more effectively from CMJ test (91.7% versus 70.8%). Hence, it is suggested that FSKT tests can be used as an effective method for performance measurement in sports such as kickboxing, taekwondo, muaythai, and karate which include kicking technique.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully thank the participants for their cooperation during the research. This study was presented at El Ruha 7th International Conference on Social Sciences (August 3–5, 2020, American University of Libya, Tripoli, Libya).

Conflict of interests

There were no conflicts of interest.
References


