The effects of different recovery methods on anaerobic performance in combat sports athletes
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Abstract
Background: Athletes, who engage in combat sports, perform often several matches in a day during competitions. For this reason, recovery is a very important factor between matches. There are many different recovery methods applied by athletes and it is very important to know which one is more suitable for anaerobic performance. Objective: The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of different recovery methods on anaerobic performance in combat sports athletes. Methods: Thirteen experienced international level elite combat sports athletes (age 20.5 ± 1.6 years, body height 175.3 ± 4.5 cm, body mass 73.8 ± 7.9 kg, body fat 11.4 ± 3.9%, training experience 7.5 ± 3.4 years) have participated voluntarily in this study. Athletes were involved in passive recovery (PR), cold water immersion (CWI) and active recovery (AR) methods after countermovement jump and Wingate anaerobic test. Also, body temperature and rating of perceived exertion were evaluated. In this study, a randomized crossover design was used and applications lasted three days. All measurements were performed at three different times (baseline, 1st and 2nd session) in a day. Two-way analysis of variance with repeated measures was used for statistical analysis. Results: For the countermovement jump there was a significant increase after CWI and AR. A significant decrease was found in the Fatigue index after CWI recovery. Body temperature was increased after CWI, AR, and PR. Rating of perceived exertion has increased in AR. Moreover, there were no significant differences in peak power and mean power. Conclusions: The results indicate that during intermittent recovery, CWI positively impacted countermovement jump and fatigue index. Also, AR has positively affected countermovement jump performance, while negatively affected the rating of perceived exertion. Thus our findings suggest that 10 min of CWI and AR can be adopted in competitions when successive matches take place.
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Introduction
Combat sports consist of many different Olympic and non-Olympic sports such as: wrestling, taekwondo, boxing, judo, karate, kickboxing, muay thai, etc. (Hammami et al., 2018). In these sports, national and international competition organizations follow a tournament schedule that requires athletes to have more than one match in a day. For this reason, the time is limited for the recovery between these matches (Monedero & Donne, 2000). In combat sports, matches take place in a short time and at a high intensity. Therefore, a very important issue is the recovery between matches. Furthermore, many athletes ignore recovery strategies within their daily training or match programs (Calder, 2003). As a result, athletic performance might be reduced. Physical and physiological recovery between competitions is not only important for combat sports athletes but also for team sports athletes (Doeven et al., 2018; King & Duffield, 2009). Ronglan et al. (2006) study have demonstrated that successive matches adversely affect team-sport athletes and reduce performance. Recovery is a crucial factor for the team and individual sports athletes, not only during competition between repeated performances but also in the training period (Calder, 2003). Intense training results in numerous physiological effects such as muscle damage, hyperthermia, dehydration, and glycogen depletion (Ihsan et al., 2016; Reilly & Ekblom, 2005).

Recovery is defined as the return of the organism to its pre-exercise state (Hausswirth & Le Meur, 2011). There are numbers of popular methods used by athletes to enhance recovery such as; stretching, massage, active recovery (AR), passive recovery (PR), cold water immersion (CWI), contrast water therapy, compression garments, hydrotherapy, and some nutritional practices (Halson, 2013; Mussi, 2018). In this study, AR, PR, and CWI applications, which are often used by athletes were compared. AR is defined as low-intensity (less than the anaerobic threshold and between 30% and 60% of the individual’s maximal oxygen consumption) exercises applied after training and competition (Wiewelhove et al., 2018; Wilcock, 2005). During intense exercise, various waste metabolites (the lactic acid and hydrogen ions related to acidosis) accumulate...
within the muscle, inducing muscle fatigue and deterioration of performance (Lattier et al., 2004; Tokmakidis et al., 2011). AR reduces this waste metabolite and, with an associated increase in blood flow throughout the body, may increase the metabolism of waste substrates produced during exercise (Halson, 2013; Sharma et al., 2017; Tokmakidis et al., 2011; Wilcock, 2005). PR refers to inactivity post-exercise and the intrinsic return of the body to homeostasis (Sanders, 1996). CWI is a form of cold water therapy that improves the natural healing process of the human body. The possible benefit of CWI recovery is that due to the hydrostatic pressure and water temperature; reduce swelling, reduce muscle pain, reduce the feeling of fatigue, regulate localized blood flow, regulate localized tissue and internal temperature, regulate heart rate, reduce muscle spasms, reduce inflammation, and muscle damage (Ascensão et al., 2011; Belkadi et al., 2019; Dupuy et al., 2018; Higgins et al., 2017; Mooventhan & Nivethitha, 2014; Wilcock, 2005).

In summary, the level of recovery is very important for sports performance between consecutive matches. The need to maximize recovery methods appears to be important to increase anaerobic performance. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the effect of different recovery methods on performance in countermovement jump (CMJ) and Wingate anaerobic test (WAnT).

Methods
Participants
Thirteen (wrestling, n = 3; judo, n = 2; wushu, n = 2; boxing, n = 2; karate, n = 2; muay thai, n = 1; kickboxing, n = 1), experienced elite international level combat sports athletes (age 20.5 ± 1.6 years, body height 175.3 ± 4.5 cm, body mass 73.8 ± 7.9 kg, body fat 11.4 ± 3.9%, sport experience 7.5 ± 3.4 years) participated voluntarily in this study. The experiment was approved by the Clinical Research Ethical Committee of Abant Izzet Baysal University (decision no. 2019/230). Each participant signed informed consent.

Experimental design
The study was designed to simulate the tournament process of combat sports. Each subject was informed about the procedures (purpose, methods, contributions, and possible risks) before participating in the experiment. Two weeks before the experiment, the subjects were familiarized with the tests to avoid the learning effect during the testing period of the study. Subjects were asked to refrain from any physical activity during the experimental period. At the end of the familiarization, subjects were assigned to three groups. Three sessions of performance test (baseline, 1st session, 2nd session) were realized during each measurement day. After baseline and the 1st session of performance test, 10-min specific recovery methods for each group were applied. In this study, randomized cross design was used where all groups applied the same recovery methods, but on different days. Between sessions 120 min resting was given. The schematic representation of the investigation is shown in Figure 1.

Anthropometric and body composition measurements
For the body height measurement to the nearest 0.1 cm via Stadiometer (Seca 700, Germany) was used. Body mass and body fat percentage were assessed by a bioelectrical impedance analyzer (Tanita BC-418 MA, Tanita, Tokyo, Japan).
Cold water immersion protocol
During the cold water immersion, subjects were submerged in a seated position to the waist level in cold water (10–15 °C). Cold water immersion was applied 10 min after the end of the performance tests. Water temperature was controlled before all measurements with a portable thermometer (Idden Welt) and the water temperature was maintained between 10–15 °C by adding ice. During the application, the subject wore shorts only.

Active recovery protocol
After all performance tests, 10-min active recovery was applied. The protocol consists of 5-min jogging and 5-min stretching exercise (quadriceps, hamstring, and calf muscles).

Passive recovery protocol
During passive recovery, the subjects rested in the supine position with the straight legs and arms positioned alongside the body. Passive recovery took 10 min after the performance application.

CMJ
In order to determine the vertical jump performance of the participants, the time they stayed in the air was measured using the Bosco Mat (Newrest 1000, Oulu, Finland). In this test, the athlete stood on the mat with weight evenly distributed over both feet. Hands were placed on the hips. The athlete squats down until the knees were bent at approximately 90°, the upper body kept straight. The athlete jumped vertically as high as possible and landed back on the mat with both feet hitting the ground at the same time. The best score of three attempts was recorded. One-min resting was given between trials. The maximum flying time from experiments was recorded. Power calculated with the formula shown below (Sayers et al., 1999):

\[
\text{Peak power (W)} = (60.7 \times \text{Jump height (cm)}) + [45.3 \times \text{Body mass (kg)}] - 2055.
\]

30 s WAnT
The 30 s WAnT performance was conducted with a cycle ergometer (Monark Ergomedic 894E, Peak Bike, Sweden). Seat height was adjusted for each subject and toe clips were used to prevent the slipping of the feet from the pedals. Each participant cycled at 70–80 rpm after standard warm-up. At the beginning of the test, the subjects were instructed to pedal as fast as possible against unloaded resistance (Inbar et al., 1996). The resistance applied was adjusted relative to body weight (0.075 * body weight in kg). During the test when the subject reached 150 rpm 30 s, the measurement phase was started automatically. Verbal encouragement was given to each subject till the end of the test. This test, peak power (PP), mean power (MP), minimum power, and their relative values were obtained from the software. The fatigue index (FI) was calculated by the formula of Inbar et al. (1996).

\[
\text{Fatigue index} = \frac{[\text{peak power (W)} - \text{minimum power (W)}]}{\text{peak power (W)}} \times 100.
\]

Body temperature measurement
Body temperature (BT) was measured using a portable ear thermometer (Braun ThermoScan, Braun, Kronberg, Germany).

Rating of perceived exertion
To assess the subject’s efforts, during the application, athletes were asked to provide a rating of perceived exertion (RPE). The subjects completed a questionnaire (RPE scale) that probed their perception of physical fatigue. The scale consists of values ranging from 6–20 with 6 meaning easy and 20 meaning hard (Borg, 1970). After conducted the recovery application, the subjects were asked whether or not they felt that the application enhanced their recovery from the previous session and improved their subsequent physical performance.

Statistical analysis
All statistical tests were processed using SPSS software (Version 20.0; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). To test normality, we used the Shapiro-Wilk test and Levene’s test for the homogeneity of variance. Once the assumption of normality was confirmed, parametric tests were performed. Data of the Wingate test, CMJ, BT, and RPE were analyzed using a two-way (Recovery method x Time, 3 x 3) analysis of variance with repeated measures as the second factor. When appropriate, significant differences between means were determined using the Bonferroni test.

When a significant difference was found between times, one-way analysis of variance for repeated measures was used for the statistical analysis between consecutive measurements taken at baseline, 1st, and 2nd session. When significant differences were found in application and time effect according to variance analysis, paired-samples t-test was used for assessing the differences between baseline and other times. Effect sizes were calculated for all variables using \( \eta^2_p \). The thresholds used for the interpretation of the effect size were: .01 small effect size, .06 medium effect size, .14 large effect size (Cohen, 1988). For the description of changes from baseline percent change (Δ%) was calculated. The significance level was stated at \( p \leq .05 \).

Results
Results from the countermovement jump, peak power, mean power, and fatigue index values at baseline, after 1st and 2nd sessions, are presented in Table 1.

For the CMJ there was a time effect in CWI and AR, \( F(2, 12) = 5.390, p = .012, \eta^2_p = .310 \). For the CWI highest value was seen after the 2nd session (Δ% +3.5). For AR, it was seen after the 1st session (Δ% +2.9).

For the FI there was also significant application and time effect, \( F(4, 24) = 2.675, p = .043, \eta^2_p = .182 \); with the lowest value after the 1st session (Δ% -6.4) compared to values at baseline and after the 2nd session in CWI recovery. There were no significant differences found in PP, \( F(2, 12) = 3.217, p = .058, \eta^2_p = .211 \) and MP, \( F(2, 12) = 2.789, p = .081, \eta^2_p = .189 \).
The changes in body temperature at baseline, and after the 1st and 2nd sessions are presented in Table 2. There was a time effect, \( F(2, 12) = 16.413, p < .001, \eta^2_p = .578 \), in CWI, PR, and AR groups. The highest increase was found in the AR group (Δ%: +1.4) during the 2nd session.

Results from the RPE after the 1st and 2nd session recovery procedure are displayed in Table 3. There was a time effect, \( F(2, 12) = 6.257, p = .028, \eta^2_p = 0.343 \), in AR groups. The highest increase was found in AR after the 2nd session (Δ% +10.5).

Discussion

The major findings of the present study were that values of CMJ were significantly higher after CWI and AR methods. The lowest FI score was seen in CWI as compared to AR and PR. It was seen that there was an increase in AR, PR, and CWI applications in BT values. It was determined that the highest BT value was in AR application. Moreover, the highest RPE scores were seen in AR applications.

In terms of CMJ, there was a significant increase in CWI and AR groups in the time effect. The changes were found in the AR baseline to the 1st session (2.9%) whereas in the CWI baseline to 2nd session (3.4%). The result was also confirmed by Rey et al. (2012), who reported that AR has improved CMJ performance. Also, Medina-Porqueres et al. (2016) presented a similar result as CWI exerts a beneficial effect on CMJ and vertical jump ability. Contrary to the study results stated above, Rowell et al. (2009) and King and Duffield (2009) presented no significant difference in CMJ performance after CWI application. The reason for the different results obtained in these studies is thought to be due to the fact that the study group (female- junior) and study design were different. Due to the positive effects of AR and CWI on CMJ, it can be preferred for combat sports athletes between matches.

Further, our study showed no significant difference in PP values. Bielik (2010) found no significant difference in PP values after PR. Similarly, Ouergui et al. (2014) have studied kickboxing athletes and found that there was no

### Table 1 Performance variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Baseline M ± SD</th>
<th>After 1st session M ± SD (Δ%)</th>
<th>After 2nd session M ± SD (Δ%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Countermovement jump (W)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold water immersion</td>
<td>3494.3 ± 458.7</td>
<td>3554.5 ± 532.4 (+1.7)</td>
<td>3615.8 ± 487.2 (+3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive recovery</td>
<td>3526.2 ± 463.2</td>
<td>3582.5 ± 492.8 (+1.5)</td>
<td>3578.5 ± 501.3 (+1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active recovery</td>
<td>3490.8 ± 400.8</td>
<td>3593.2 ± 403.9 (+2.9)</td>
<td>3541.8 ± 477.6 (+1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak power (W/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold water immersion</td>
<td>12.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>12.5 ± 1.6 (–0.8)</td>
<td>13.1 ± 1.3 (+4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive recovery</td>
<td>12.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>12.9 ± 1.7 (–0.07)</td>
<td>13.0 ± 1.7 (+4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active recovery</td>
<td>12.9 ± 1.6</td>
<td>13.1 ± 1.5 (+1.2)</td>
<td>13.2 ± 1.4 (+2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean power (W/kg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold water immersion</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.5 (–0.0)</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.5 (+1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive recovery</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.5 (+0.05)</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.6 (+1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active recovery</td>
<td>8.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.5 (+1.3)</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.6 (+1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue index (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold water immersion</td>
<td>60.7 ± 10.1</td>
<td>56.2 ± 8.7 (–6.4)</td>
<td>61.5 ± 8.4 (+1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive recovery</td>
<td>63.6 ± 12.3</td>
<td>61.6 ± 9.2 (–3.1)</td>
<td>59.8 ± 10.2 (–5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active recovery</td>
<td>59.8 ± 8.7</td>
<td>62.0 ± 11.3 (+3.6)</td>
<td>61.0 ± 7.9 (+2.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Δ% = percent change from the baseline. *Significant application x time effect. **Significantly different from baseline.

### Table 2 Body temperature (°C)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Baseline M ± SD</th>
<th>After 1st session M ± SD (Δ%)</th>
<th>After 2nd session M ± SD (Δ%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cold water immersion</td>
<td>36.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>36.2 ± 0.5 (–0.3)</td>
<td>36.6 ± 0.5 (–0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive recovery</td>
<td>36.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>37.0 ± 0.3 (–0.8)</td>
<td>37.0 ± 0.3 (–1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active recovery</td>
<td>36.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>37.0 ± 0.3 (–0.8)</td>
<td>37.0 ± 0.3 (–1.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Δ% = percent change from the baseline. *Significant application x time effect. **Significantly different from baseline.

### Table 3 Rating of perceived exertion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>After 1st session M ± SD</th>
<th>After 2nd session M ± SD (Δ%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cold water immersion</td>
<td>15.6 ± 1.3</td>
<td>16.1 ± 1.6 (+2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passive recovery</td>
<td>16.0 ± 1.7</td>
<td>16.3 ± 1.6 (+1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active recovery</td>
<td>14.7 ± 1.9</td>
<td>16.2 ± 1.7 (+10.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Δ% = percent change from the baseline. *Significant application x time effect. **Significantly different from baseline.
significant difference in the PP values after AR and PR. In another study, Malone et al. (2012) investigated performance parameters in trained triathletes after short-term recovery from supramaximal bouts of exercise and they found, no significant differences between active and passive recovery interventions on PP. Mussi (2018) compared the effects of AR and CWI on MP and he found that there was no significant difference in MP performance. On the other hand, Malone et al. (2012) investigated performance parameters in trained triathletes after short-term recovery from supramaximal bouts of exercise. Likewise, they found no significant differences between AR and PR interventions on MP. Contrary to the above study results, Schniepp et al. (2002) found that cold water application results in a significant decrease in MP. Frikha et al. (2016) examined the effect of warm-up duration on MP and they found that 15 min of active warming-up increased MP. Considering the current study and other study results, it can be said that AR, PR, and CWI applications do not have a significant effect on MP performance.

For the FI there was a significant application and time effects were found in CWI. CWI group had the lowest FI compared to AR and PR group. Similarly, Dixon et al. (2010) reported that when testing the power production of athletes, cold water exposure significantly decreased power output. At the same time Schniepp et al. (2002) found a significant decrease in short-term power output during CWI. On the contrary Malone et al. (2012) investigated performance parameters in trained triathletes after short-term recovery from supramaximal bouts of exercise. No significant difference was found between active and passive recovery interventions for FI.

Fatigue index is defined as the percent reduction in power during WAnT (Özkan et al., 2010). A possible explanation for the decrease in power output during cold water application is that cooling of the muscles affects the nervous system (Montgomery & Macdonald, 1990). Cool tissues decrease the rate of nerve transmission by reducing the production of acetylcholine at the neuromuscular junction (Abramson et al., 1966). The study of Herrera et al. (2010) supported this view. In their study, they compare the effects of the ice pack, ice massage, and cold water immersion on the conduction parameters of the sural (sensory) and tibial motor nerves and they found that cold water immersion influences nerve conduction parameters. In terms of BT, there was a time effect in CWI, PR, and AR groups. The highest increase was found in the AR group during the 2nd session. Herrera et al. (2010) compared three different cold application methods (ice pack, ice massage, and cold water immersion) on BT and they found that all three methods reduced skin temperature. Moreover, Peiffer et al. (2009) investigated the effect of cold water immersion duration on body temperature and muscle function and they found a significantly greater rate of decrease in rectal temperature for all cold water immersion conditions. This is not in line with Mussi (2018) who investigated the effects of CWI and AR application on BT and found no significant difference between CWI and AR in temperature. Chaâri et al. (2015) study demonstrated that a 5-min post-warm-up rest interval did not generate a drop in core temperature and help to maintain an elevated state of preparedness, which has a direct impact on the subsequent high-intensity cycling performance. Immersion in water and cold application causes a decrease in body temperature. Therefore, a decrease in body internal temperature occurs.

A significant time effect was found in RPE. It was found that there was a 10.5% increase in the active recovery between 1st and 2nd session. Similarly, Scudese et al. (2016) reported that perceived effort data showed an important increase from the second set for active recovery. De Pauw et al. (2013) showed that CWI was significantly better than AR at improving RPE. Moreover, Rowell et al. (2009) reported the perception of leg soreness and general fatigue was also lower in the cold water immersion than in the thermoneutral immersion group. In addition, all players in the cold water immersion condition reported that the cold water immersion recovery was beneficial for their recovery. On the contrary, Mussi (2018) compared active recovery and cold water immersion on the rating of perceived recovery and he found that there was no significant difference. These results suggest that cold water immersion might reduce the perceived exertion during consecutive matches in a few days.

Conclusions
The results indicate that during intermittent recovery, cold water immersion helped maintain performance and positively impacted countermovement jump and fatigue index, while the active recovery was positively affected countermovement jump performance and negatively affected the rating of perceived exertion. Thus our findings suggest that 10 min of cold water immersion and active recovery can be adopted in competitions when successive matches take place.
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