Associations between adiposity and physical activity and sedentary behaviour patterns in older women
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Background: Despite broad interest in physical activity (PA) and excessive sitting, it remains unclear what the actual patterns of PA and sedentary behaviour (SB) in older adults are and how they are associated with adiposity. Objective: The aim of this study was to investigate the cross-sectional associations between the total amount and defined bouts of PA and SB with adiposity indicators in older women. Methods: Data on 313 community-dwelling women was used. All women wore an accelerometer for one week and undergone the body composition analysis. We used three adiposity indicators: fat mass percentage (FM%), visceral fat area (VFA), fat mass index (FMI); and the fat-free mass index indicator (FFMI). In multiple linear regression analyses, we adjusted the models for the following confounders: age, wear time, socio-demographic information, smoking, and health status. Results: The mean values of FM%, VFA, FMI, and FFMI were 36.1%, 125.9 cm, 10 kg⋅m−2 and 17 kg⋅m−2, respectively. Each additional 150 minutes of MVPA per week (regardless of bout length) was associated with a decrease of 3.0% in FM%, 12 cm2 in VFA, and 1.5 kg⋅m−2 in FMI. Regarding strong association with bout frequency, doing MVPA lasting ≥ 10 minutes 15 times/week (to meet the target of at least 150 minutes of MVPA/week) would be associated with a decrease of 4.8% in FM%, 24.15 cm2 in VFA, and 2.55 kg⋅m−2 in FMI. Significant positive associations for FM%, VFA, and FMI were found with the duration and frequency of sedentary bouts lasting ≥ 20 minutes (β ranging from 0.05–0.42). Conclusions: Significant associations between fat indicators and both PA and SB were found in elderly women. The beneficial associations were much larger for frequency than for duration of bouted MVPA lasting ≥ 10 minutes. The results from this study using SB and PA in terms of total accumulated time and different bouts could be useful for designing interventions for community-dwelling older women.
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Introduction

The prevalence of adiposity in developed countries is growing across the age spectrum (Doak, Wijnhoven, Schokker, Visscher, & Seidell, 2012; Gába & Přidalová, 2014; World Health Organization, 2016). Especially older women are at high risk of abdominal obesity after the menopausal transition years (Loveloj, Champagne, de Jonge, Xie, & Smith, 2008). With regard to the health consequences of adiposity (Gardiner et al., 2011; Penedo, Schneiderman, Dahn, & Gonzalez, 2004), older adults are the group that is most sensitive to comorbidities, because they also form the least active group in society (Harvey, Chastin, & Skelton, 2015). Moreover, excessive sitting is recognised as a risk factor for non-communicable diseases and all-cause mortality among older adults (Harvey, Chastin, & Skelton, 2013).

It has been established that sitting time is positively associated with adiposity in older adults (Bankoski et al., 2011; Gennuso, Gangnon, Matthews, Thraen-Borowski, & Colbert, 2013; Gianoudis, Bailey, & Daly, 2015; Inoue et al., 2012) and physical activity (PA) might be a solution that could help to prevent and treat adiposity in this population (Hill & Wyatt, 2005; Owen, Bauman, & Brown, 2009; Recio-Rodriguez et al., 2017). And, more generally, PA is associated with many health benefits and is a relevant factor...
influencing independence and healthy aging (World Health Organization, 2017). The current PA recommendations indicate that older adults should get at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic PA throughout the week on the condition that all activities should be performed for at least 10 minutes (World Health Organization, 2010). However, most of seniors’ PA comprises light-intensity PA, whereas the most intense type, vigorous PA, is hardly achievable in older adults (UK Department of Health, 2011). On the other hand, the recommendations relating to older adults’ sedentary behaviour (SB) do not provide clear guidance, only suggesting minimising the amount of time spent being sedentary for extended periods and breaking up long periods of sitting as often as possible (Australian Government, Department of Health, 2014). For this reason, a detailed investigation of patterns of daily PA and SB, including bouts of different durations and their frequency (reflecting sedentary breaks), is necessary to gain an understanding of the associations with adiposity. This is possible mainly thanks to instruments for the objective monitoring of PA and SB. In geriatric research, the use of accelerometers has been established as a reliable and valid tool for the assessment of PA (Foong et al., 2014; Harris et al., 2009). Especially in older adults, the association between adiposity markers and PA might be highly influenced by the assessment tool. The association might be stronger when PA is assessed by means of accelerometry, compared with questionnaires (Sabia et al., 2015) or only objective but not self-reported PA is associated with adiposity (Recio-Rodríguez et al., 2017).

There are different findings from previous studies which investigated specific bouts of PA. Some studies found stronger associations between minutes of moderate-to-vigorous PA (MVPA) accumulated in bouts lasting ≥ 10 minutes and adiposity parameters than the same accumulated in bouts lasting > 10 minutes (Loprinzi, Crush, & Joyner, 2017; Strath, Holleman, Ronis, Swartz, & Richardson, 2008). A study of older British men suggested similar effects for adiposity regardless of meeting the requirement for 10-minute bouts of MVPA (Jefferis et al., 2016). Regarding SB, a large proportion of sedentary time appears to be accrued in short bouts (< 10 minutes; Yerrakalva et al., 2017), and short bouts of 1–15 minutes were found to be associated with lower adiposity in older adults (Jefferis et al., 2016).

Recently, there have been many investigations of SB and PA in association with geriatric-relevant health outcomes (de Rezende, Rey-López, & Matsudo, 2014; Taylor et al., 2004). However, these studies have primarily used subjective assessment methods. Moreover, only a few studies (Chastin, Ferriolli, Stephens, Fearn, & Greig, 2011; Ko, Stenholm, & Ferrucci, 2010) investigated specific patterns of both PA and SB in an older adult population in relation to objectively measured adiposity parameters. In this study, we have examined all levels of PA, including SB, using accelerometers. Furthermore, we have used objective measurement of body composition (BC) to assess diverse adiposity indicators. This study aims to investigate the cross-sectional associations between the total amount and defined bouts of PA and SB and adiposity indicators in older women.

Methods

Subjects and design

Four hundred and eight healthy community-dwelling women (aged 55–83) from Czech Republic, Slovakia and Poland were recruited throughout 2009 and 2018 to participate in the assessment of PA, SB, and BC. The participants were approached during personal visits on University of the Third Age classes and selected local senior clubs. The exclusion criteria for the study were major knee or hip surgery in the previous twelve months or a physical handicap that might have interfered with the BC and PA measurement (e.g., motor skills disorder, amputation, or paralysis). Out of 408 participating women, 71 had to be excluded due to missing valid accelerometer data. Moreover, 24 women without self-reported socio-demographic information were also excluded from the analyses. Finally, data of 313 were analysed (217 from the University of the Third Age and 96 from local senior clubs).

The Institutional Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Physical Culture of Palacký University Olomouc provided ethical approval (No. 20/2016). All participants provided written informed consent, which was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration.

Body composition and anthropometric measurement

The standing height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a P-375 portable anthropometer (Trystom, Olomouc, Czech Republic), while the women were barefoot. BC, including weight (to the nearest 0.1 kg), was assessed by means of multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis using the InBody 720 device (Biospace Co., Seoul, Korea) with the manufacturer’s equation. All the women were required fast for at least 4 hours, hydrate properly for 24 hours preceding the measurement. The following indicators were assessed: fat mass percentage (FM%), visceral fat area (VFA), fat mass index (FMI), and fat-free mass index (FFMI). FFMI does not belong to adiposity indicators, since consists of muscles, connective tissues, dense connective tissues and internal organs. However, it was added...
to all analyses to obtain a more comprehensive view of body composition issue. The FFMI (kg·m⁻²) and body FMI (kg·m⁻²) were derived as body fat-free mass (kg) and body fat mass (kg), respectively, divided by body height squared (m²). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing body weight (kg) by the square of body height (m²). VFA was defined as a cross sectional area of visceral fat in the abdomen at the umbilical level (L₅-L₆). The values can be estimated using multi-frequency bioimpedance analysis with the InBody 720 or by computed tomography which is considered a less convenient than bioimpedance method (Park et al., 2016). The cut-off point 100 cm² of visceral fat accumulation was determined as the emergence of many adverse health problems (Piché et al., 2008).

Sedentary behaviour and physical activity assessment

SB and PA were assessed using ActiGraph GT1M accelerometers (ActiGraph, Pensacola, FL, USA). All the participants wore the device during waking hours for at least eight consecutive days, except for bathing, swimming, and sleeping. The time sampling interval was set at 60-second epochs. In this study, the accelerometer output in counts per minute (cpm) was derived using adult population cut-offs, based on previous evidence that the attendees of the University of the Third Age and senior clubs are considered more physically active (Pelcová, Gába, Tlučáková, & Pospišek, 2012; Zając-Gawlak et al., 2016). Intensities of ≤ 99 cpm, 100–1951 cpm, and ≥ 1952 cpm correspond with SB, light-intensity PA (LIPA), and MVPA, respectively (Freedson, Melanson, & Sirard, 1998). The summary of the time spent in the defined thresholds was calculated as total sedentary time and total time spent in LIPA and MVPA. Non-wear time was defined as ≥ 60 seconds interruptions of counts above 0 for two minutes. Additionally, the duration (time spent on bouts of a defined length) and frequency (number of bouts) per day (reflecting sedentary breaks) of particular activity bouts were considered. A bout was determined as a period spent in a defined intensity. When the accelerometer cpm raising over the particular threshold, that specific bout was instantly terminated. We examined the frequency and duration of sedentary bouts with a length of ≥ 10, ≥ 20, and ≥ 30 minutes with all consecutive minutes of ≤ 99 cpm. Each minute in which the device registered ≥ 100 cpm was considered as a sedentary break. Further, a 10-minute MVPA bout was defined as 10 or more consecutive minutes above the MVPA activity count threshold following MVPA recommendations (World Health Organization, 2016).

Covariates

Demographic factors (age, employment status), socioeconomic (education), health (self-reported health), behavioural (light-intensity PA, MVPA, and smoking status), and environmental factors (living in an apartment) were obtained through a questionnaire and were included in the analysis as covariates. Self-reported health was assessed using simple question, which asks about the current health of the respondents, and the responds were classified as “excellent”, “very good”, “good”, “fair” or “poor”. These confounders have been identified as strong predictors of SB (Chastin et al., 2015) or have been found to be associated with PA or SB in older Central European adults (Pelcová et al., 2009). Furthermore, the accelerometer wear time was calculated and used to control the analysis.

Data analysis

The women provided valid accelerometer data and personal information, which were used as covariates in all the analyses. Accelerometer data was considered valid if the wear time was at least 10 hours a day on a minimum of three weekdays and one weekend day (Hart, Swartz, Cashin, & Strath, 2011). The accelerometer data was processed using ActiLife (Version 6.13.3; ActiGraph, Pensacola, FL, USA). All analyses were performed using the SPSS software (Version 22 for Windows; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistics are presented as means, standard deviations, and 95% confidence intervals unless stated otherwise. Normal data distribution was found in all PA and adiposity variables. Statistical significance was set at p < .05.

To explain the observed data, analysis of variance was used to analyse the differences in adiposity parameters among the MVPA and SB quartiles. The cut-off points of the quartiles were 20, 34.9, and 49.4 minutes/day for MVPA and 404, 474.3, and 523.7 minutes/day for SB. To confirm the linear trend, a test for linearity was used.

In multiple linear regression analyses, we analysed the associations of total SB, LIPA and MVPA and the frequency and duration of SB and MVPA bouts with adiposity indicators. All the analyses were adjusted for confounding variables. The adiposity indicators were used as dependent (outcome) variables. In the first analysis, the total sedentary time and frequency and duration of defined sedentary bouts were used as the exposure. The analysis was adjusted for age, wear time, and additional confounders. To find out if PA influences SB, the model was also adjusted for MVPA. LIPA was not included in the analysis as a confounder for reasons of collinearity. The correlation coefficient between LIPA and sedentary time was lower than −.7. In the second analysis, the total time occupied by LIPA was used as the exposure, with adjustment for the
same variables except MVPA. The third time, the total time spent on MVPA and the frequency and duration of 10-minute MVPA bouts were used as the exposure. Equally, the regression model was created with the MVPA confounder being replaced by the total time spent on SB.

**Results**

Baseline descriptive characteristics of the 313 older community-dwelling women (55–83 years old) included in this study are presented in Table 1. On average they spent 466.8 minutes daily on SB, 324.8 minutes on LIPA, and 38.44 minutes on MVPA. One hundred thirty-three of the women were classified as obese (42%) and 71 of the women as overweight (23%). The wear time of the accelerometers was, on average, 13.9 hours per day and the participants had seven valid days on average. The mean values of FM%, VFA, FMI, and FFMI were 36.1%, 125.9 cm², 10 kg·m⁻², and 17 kg·m⁻², respectively.

Significant differences in FM%, FMI and VFA (Figure 1) were found between the MVPA and SB quartiles \((p < .01)\), i.e. the women with a higher amount (the fourth quartile) of MVPA and a lower amount of SB had lower values of both fat parameters. Moreover, a linear trend was confirmed for these variables \((p_{\text{trend}} = .01)\). A linear trend was also confirmed in the FFMI indicator, but only in MVPA, not in SB.

Table 2 shows the analyses where the associations of PA and SB (independent) variables with adiposity indicators and FFMI were investigated. The beta coefficient \((\beta)\) represents the result of each analysis adjusted for age, wear time, total MVPA (or total SB in analysis with the PA level modelled as an independent variable), and socio-demographic and health founders (education, housing, location, health status, smoking status, and employment).

### Table 1

**Descriptive characteristics of sample \((N = 313)\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age and somatic characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>[65.8, 67.3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body height (cm)</td>
<td>160.9</td>
<td>[160.3, 161.7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>[68.9, 71.5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg·m⁻²)</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>[26.6, 27.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass percentage (%)</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>[35.3, 36.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral fat area (cm²)</td>
<td>125.9</td>
<td>[122.1, 129.8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass index (kg·m⁻²)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>[9.7, 10.4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat-free mass index (kg·m⁻²)</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>[16.9, 17.2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIPA (minutes/day)</td>
<td>324.8</td>
<td>[316.0, 335.8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate physical activity (minutes/day)</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>[35.7, 40.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA (minutes/day)</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>[36.1, 41.5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average length of MVPA bout (minutes/day)</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>[13.1, 14.6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of MVPA bouts ≥ 10 minutes (minutes/day)</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>[16.9, 21.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of MVPA bouts ≥ 10 minutes (times/day)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>[1.0, 1.2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor wear time (hours/day)</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>[13.7, 14.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary behavior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary time (minutes/day)</td>
<td>466.8</td>
<td>[456.6, 476.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average length of sedentary bout (minutes/day)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>[5.6, 5.9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of sedentary bouts ≥ 10 minutes (minutes/day)</td>
<td>277.3</td>
<td>[267.1, 287.8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of sedentary bouts ≥ 20 minutes (minutes/day)</td>
<td>177.0</td>
<td>[167.7, 186.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of sedentary bouts ≥ 30 minutes (minutes/day)</td>
<td>118.7</td>
<td>[110.9, 126.5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of sedentary bouts ≥ 10 minutes (times/day)</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>[12.1, 12.8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of sedentary bouts ≥ 20 minutes (times/day)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>[4.8, 5.2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of sedentary bouts ≥ 30 minutes (times/day)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>[2.4, 2.7]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. CI = confidence interval; LIPA = light-intensity physical activity; MVPA = moderate-to-vigorous physical activity.*
Adiposity and movement behaviour patterns in older women

Association between PA patterns and adiposity
LIPA was inversely associated with FM%, VFA, and FMI, but the regression coefficients were small and only significant in FM%. All the characteristics of MVPA were highly significantly associated with all the adiposity indicators \((p < .01)\). The \(\beta\) coefficients of the total time spent on MVPA in comparison to time spent on activities with bouts \(\geq 10\) minutes were very similar. Coefficients are expressed per minute a week of MVPA. Thus, multiplying by 150 (the weekly recommendation for healthy older adults), each additional 150 minutes of MVPA per week (regardless of whether it was accumulated in bouts lasting \(\geq 10\) minutes) was associated with a decrease in all the fat indicators: 3.00\% (95\% CI \([-3.00, -1.50]\)) lower FM\%, 12 cm\(^2\) (95\% CI \([-16.50, -7.50]\)) lower VFA, and 1.5 kg\(\cdot\)m\(^{-2}\) (95\% CI \([-1.95, 1.05]\)) lower FMI. Very strong associations of fat indicators were found with the frequency of MVPA bouts lasting \(\geq 10\) minutes. Coefficients for MVPA bouts lasting \(\geq 10\) minutes are expressed per times a week. Meeting a target of MVPA lasting \(\geq 10\) minutes 15 times a week (to achieve at least 150 minutes of MVPA a week) would be associated with a decrease in all fat indicators: 4.8\% (95\% CI \([-6.45, -3.00]\)) lower FM\%, 24.15 cm\(^2\) (95\% CI \([-32.7, -15.6]\)) lower VFA, and 2.55 kg\(\cdot\)m\(^{-2}\) (95\% CI \([-3.45, 1.80]\)) lower FMI.

Association between SB patterns and adiposity
Total sitting time and specific patterns of SB expressed as hours and times of bouts lasting \(\geq 10\), \(\geq 20\), and \(\geq 30\) minutes per week were positively associated with all adiposity indicators. Significant positive associations for all fat (FM\%, VFA, and FMI) indicators were found with the duration and frequency of sedentary bouts lasting \(\geq 20\) minutes. Multiplying by 10, an additional 10 hours per week spent on bouts lasting \(\geq 20\) minutes was associated with 1.1\% (95\% CI \([0.3, 1.9]\)) higher FM\%, 4 cm\(^2\) (95\% CI \([0.1, 8.0]\)) higher VFA, and 0.43 kg\(\cdot\)m\(^{-2}\) (95\% CI \([0.08, 0.78]\)) higher FMI. There was no significant association in sedentary bouts lasting \(\geq 30\) minutes with adiposity indicators, except for the frequency of bouts lasting \(\geq 30\) minutes, where a significant positive association with FM\% was found \((\beta = 0.09, p = .04)\). Significant positive associations for the duration and frequency of bouts lasting \(\geq 10\) minutes were found only for FM\% and FMI, but not for VFA. There was a significant association between sedentary bout characteristics and the FFMI indicator.

Discussion
In this study, we have examined PA, SB, and adiposity in older women. On average, the women were sitting for...
Table 2
Associations between patterns of SB, LIPA, and MVPA (weekly values) with adiposity indicators and FFMI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>FM% (%)</th>
<th>VFA (cm²)</th>
<th>FMI (kg·m⁻²)</th>
<th>FFMI (kg·m⁻²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>β</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB total (minutes)</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td>[0.00, 0.00]</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>[0.00, 0.01]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIPA total (minutes)</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
<td>[0.00, 0.00]</td>
<td>-0.00</td>
<td>[-0.01, 0.01]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA total (minutes)</td>
<td>-0.02***</td>
<td>[-0.02, -0.01]</td>
<td>-0.08***</td>
<td>[-0.10, -0.06]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA duration of bout ≥ 10 minutes</td>
<td>-0.02***</td>
<td>[-0.02, -0.01]</td>
<td>-0.08***</td>
<td>[-0.11, -0.05]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVPA frequency of bout ≥ 10 minutes</td>
<td>-0.32***</td>
<td>[-0.43, -0.20]</td>
<td>-1.61***</td>
<td>[-2.18, -1.04]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of sedentary bout (minutes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 10</td>
<td>0.11**</td>
<td>[0.03, 0.18]</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>[-0.02, 0.68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 20</td>
<td>0.11**</td>
<td>[0.03, 0.19]</td>
<td>0.42*</td>
<td>[0.03, 0.81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 30</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>[0.00, 0.18]</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>[-0.07, 0.84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of sedentary bout (times/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 10</td>
<td>0.05**</td>
<td>[0.02, 0.08]</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>[-0.06, 0.27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 20</td>
<td>0.09**</td>
<td>[0.03, 0.14]</td>
<td>0.34*</td>
<td>[0.06, 0.61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 30</td>
<td>0.09*</td>
<td>[0.00, 0.17]</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>[-0.05, 0.76]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. FM% = fat mass percentage; VFA = visceral fat area; FMI = fat mass index; FFMI = fat-free mass index; β = unstandardized regression coefficient; CI = confidence interval, SB = sedentary behaviour; LIPA = light-intensity physical activity; MVPA = moderate-to-vigorous physical activity. *p < .05; **p < .01; ***p < .001
This result follows the previous studies in adults aged 60+ with time spent sedentarily ranging from 5.3–9.4 hours per waking day (Harvey et al., 2015). Looking at patterns of SB, 75% of the total sitting time was accumulated in bouts lasting < 30 minutes, confirming the suggestion of previous studies that most sedentary time occurs in bouts of shorter duration in both men (Jeffersis et al., 2016) and women (Shiroma et al., 2013).

Although the women were physically active compared to others of the same age (even with the employment of MVPA cut-off points for adults), their mean %FM was 36.1% and the mean value of BMI 27.1 (kg·m⁻²) suggested overweight or even obesity (Gaba et al., 2009; Gaba & Přidalová, 2016; Pelclova, Fromel, Gaba, & Mitas, 2012). BMI and FM% values are in line with a study of Czech women (Gába & Přidalová, 2014).

This cross-sectional study aimed to investigate the associations between the total amount and defined bouts of PA and SB and adiposity indicators in older women. Our findings support previous evidence that higher levels of MVPA (after adjusting for SB) are associated with better health in terms of adiposity (FM%, VFA, FMI) (Henson et al., 2013; Jeffersis et al., 2016). Some studies in large adult samples suggested that bouts of MVPA lasting ≥ 10 minutes had a significantly stronger association with lower BMI and waist circumference than non-bouted MVPA (Loprinzi et al., 2017; Strath et al., 2008). Our findings in our sample of older women are similar to the study of older British men (Jeffersis et al., 2016) in that almost equal beneficial associations with fat indicators were found for total MVPA and MVPA done in bouts lasting ≥ 10 minutes. To our knowledge, no previous studies investigated both the duration and also the frequency of bouted MVPA lasting ≥ 10 minutes. In this study, the associations were stronger for the frequency of bouts of MVPA lasting ≥ 10 minutes (times a week) than for time spent on MVPA lasting ≥ 10 minutes (minutes a week). In other words, and with respect to the 150 minutes/week MVPA recommendation, the coefficients for the beneficial associations of meeting the requirement for MVPA lasting ≥ 10 minutes 15 times per week with each outcome were much larger than the coefficients for 150 minutes/week of MVPA lasting ≥ 10 minutes.

In our findings, there was significant association between LIPA and FM%. This association was in the same direction as for MVPA, but the regression coefficient was smaller. Previous research in older adults suggested that almost 90% of LIPA is accumulated in bouts lasting < 10 minutes (Jeffersis et al., 2016); more frequent bouts of LIPA were associated with lower adiposity (BMI, waist circumference, FMI) and insulin levels.

Our findings also correspond with those of previously conducted studies (Bankoski et al., 2011; Gennuso et al., 2013; Gianoudis et al., 2015; Inoue et al., 2012), that lower levels of SB are associated with better health in terms of adiposity. Considering previous studies in older adults (Jeffersis et al., 2016; Yerrakalva et al., 2017), we expected more sedentary individuals and those interrupting their sedentary time less often to have a higher positive association with fat indicators. Our study revealed that especially bouts of a particular duration are positively associated with particular fat indicators. While significant positive associations for fat indicators were found with the duration and frequency of sedentary bouts lasting ≥ 10 minutes and ≥ 20 minutes, there was almost no significant association between sedentary bouts lasting ≥ 30 minutes. This might be related to the fact that a high volume of sedentary time is accumulated in shorter bouts in older adults, although any longer bout would be a significant proportion of their total sitting time. Reducing both the duration and frequency of sedentary bouts lasting ≥ 20 minutes may help to target weight reduction interventions in community-dwelling older women.

**Strengths and limitations**

This study benefits from employing objective methods of PA and SB monitoring using accelerometry, although a diversity of studies using different cut-off points for counts and identification of non-wear time might reduce the comparability of accelerometer-based studies in an older adult population. The strength of this study was the identification of patterns of SB and MVPA, using the values of the frequency and duration of bouts. Nevertheless, only bouts of MVPA and SB were taken into consideration, while LIPA was investigated as total time. On the other hand, previous research in older adults suggested that almost 90% of LIPA is accumulated in bouts lasting < 10 minutes (Jeffersis et al., 2016).

The general limitation of this study is its cross-sectional design, as we do not know the effect on the changes of the results from the long-term viewpoint. Moreover, our sample consisted of women who participated in the University of the Third Age or senior clubs, so they were predicted to be more active than other older woman who did not and thus the generalizability of the results might be limited. Moreover, the very narrow age distribution of the group (95% CI [65.8–67.3]) should be also mentioned as one of the limitations of the study. Although it increases the internal validity of the study, again, the generalizability of the study results is limited.
Conclusion

Significant associations between adiposity indicators and both PA and SB were found in the sample of older women. The beneficial associations were much larger for the frequency than for the duration of bouted MVPA lasting ≥ 10 minutes, suggesting that accumulating MVPA in more bouts lasting ≥ 10 minutes might have a greater effect on fat-related health consequences than accumulating the same volume of MVPA in fewer but longer bouts.

The results from this study using SB and PA in total accumulated time and different bouts could be useful for designing interventions for community-dwelling older women.
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